



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,484	07/21/2005	Yoshihisa Nishibe	26430U	5312
34375	7590	01/21/2009	EXAMINER	
NATH & ASSOCIATES PLLC 112 South West Street Alexandria, VA 22314			PALENIK, JEFFREY T	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	1615			
MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
01/21/2009	PAPER			

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/519,484	NISHIBE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Jeffrey T. Palenik	1615	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jeffrey T. Palenik. (3) Ari Zytcer (Atty.).  
 (2) Michael Woodward (SPE). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 16 January 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: of record.

Identification of prior art discussed: of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The interview was directed to the merits of presently rejected claim 3. No resolution was arrived at as a result of the discussion. The position agreed upon was that the issue was sufficiently complicated such that prosecution would be better facilitated and more quickly advanced through filing a Request for Continued Examination (RCE).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jeffrey T. Palenik/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 1615

/MP WOODWARD/  
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1615